Specimen document. This specimen shows the Evidence Pack layout before purchase. Its scan data, identifiers, hashes and seal values are illustrative. It is not signed evidence and cannot be verified. No customer site is represented.

Your Agency

AI-disclosure scan record

EU AI Act, Article 50: technical findings with sealed evidence

Target	https://fixture.disclosureproof.com
Scan id	specimen-fictional-2026-10-03
Captured	2026-10-03T12:00:00.000Z UTC → 2026-10-03T12:00:30.000Z UTC
Rule pack(s)	eu-ai-act-art50 2026.12
Capture method	Cloudflare Browser Rendering (homepage) + capped static fetch (crawled pages, when present)
Evidence manifest SHA-256	5a272181a6df53254b38f3c545d985dbc2aa2e47896078bbed52f2f7d4475f4d

SPECIMEN

> EVIDENCE INTEGRITY: captured artifacts are SHA-256 hashed into the evidence manifest. Recompute an artifact hash and compare it against the manifest to check its integrity. Seal authentication uses HMAC; confirmation of a signature relies on DisclosureProof.

Scan times are recorded by DisclosureProof's clock. The HMAC protects the recorded time from alteration; it does not independently prove when capture occurred. Standard Evidence Packs do not include an independent trusted timestamp.

See the Verification record appendix for the signature, artifact hashes and checking steps.

Findings


States are technical indicators (detected / not detected / could not verify) graded under the versioned rule pack above, not legal conclusions.

△ ATTENTION Art. 50(1)

Chatbot AI disclosure at first interaction

No AI/automation disclosure was detected in the chat widget's first interaction (vendor: intercom). If this assistant is AI-driven, Article 50(1) requires disclosing that to users.

> Root cause: the chat panel's first interaction was read successfully and contains no AI/automation disclosure term. Automation could not be confirmed by the reply-latency probe, so this stays a hedged WARN rather than a FAIL — but if this assistant is AI-driven, Art. 50(1) applies and the greeting or first automated reply needs an explicit AI disclosure.



Captured 2026-10-03T12:00:00.000Z UTC · SHA-256 96d26acd6b69d1cd3a0dd5a0b0069ddad8c72c0b7b534cd41c7cc29564fdba80

△ ATTENTION Art. 50(2)

Machine-readable provenance marking on media

AI-generation indicators were found on 1 of 2 sampled assets, and 1 sampled asset(s) carry no machine-readable provenance marking (C2PA/IPTC). Under Article 50(2), AI-generated content must carry such marking where technically feasible.

> Root cause: this site demonstrably publishes AI-generated media (1 of 2 sampled assets carry AI-generation indicators), and 1 sampled asset(s) carry no machine-readable provenance marking at all. We cannot tell from outside which of those unmarked assets were machine-generated, so this is flagged for review rather than reported as a gap. The usual cause is a CMS or CDN image-optimization step that strips C2PA/XMP at publish time: the generator attached credentials, the pipeline removed them, and removing them also removes the indicator that would let anyone tell which assets were affected.

Sampled assets: 2 checked · 1 with marking	
93.184.216.34/assets/hero-illustration.png	xmp · AI-indicated · adobe firefly
93.184.216.34/assets/blog-cover.jpg	no marking

△ ATTENTION Art. 50(2) (supporting)

Provenance marking is structural, not textual-only

Provenance markers on the sampled assets are textual mentions only; no structural C2PA manifest was detected on any of 1 marked asset(s).

> Root cause: provenance markers were found on 1 sampled asset(s), but none carried a structural C2PA (Content Credentials) manifest store — the markers are textual mentions (IPTC fields or generator strings) only. A structural manifest is the robust machine-readable form: it binds the claim to the asset and survives scrutiny where a text field does not. The usual cause is a pipeline that re-encodes assets and drops the embedded JUMBF box while leaving lightweight metadata behind.

SPECIMEN

△ ATTENTION Art. 50(4)

AI-content label on article-like pages

One signal, uncorroborated: 1 independent kind(s) of publisher-emitted signal point to AI use in content production, and no AI-content label was detected on 3 of 4 article-like page(s) (6 page(s) scanned). This is evidence about the site, not a determination about any page's text. Manual verification recommended.

> Root cause: one publisher-emitted signal indicates AI tooling is in use here, uncorroborated by any other kind of evidence, and 3 of 4 article-like page(s) carry no AI disclosure. A single signal does not establish which pages a tool produced. Confirm which content the tool was used for.

One signal, uncorroborated 1 independent kind of signal · 1 observation	
Signals the site itself emitted about using AI to produce content. These are the publisher's own declarations, recorded as found; DisclosureProof does not assess whether any text was machine-written and reports no likelihood of that.	
media provenance	1 of 2 sampled asset(s) carry AI-generation provenance site-wide

Affected pages: 3 unlabeled article page(s)
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/blog/ai-in-logistics
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/blog/q3-market-outlook
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/news/expansion-2026

✓ PASS Art. 50 (supporting)


AI policy / disclosure page

A dedicated AI policy/disclosure page was found (https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/ai-policy). This is supporting context that helps demonstrate transparency.

— N/A Art. 50(1)

Chatbot disclosure persists on mobile

Mobile disclosure was not separately assessed (no desktop disclosure to compare, or the mobile panel could not be read).



Captured 2026-10-03T12:00:00.000Z UTC · SHA-256 6d3e557fdd02a8261668889029b7640f2b399c6c374cac1210b61c7c03f9b3cb

---

— N/A Art. 50(1)

Chatbot disclosure covers the site's languages

Language coverage was not assessed for this scan — it requires a detected chat widget, a detected disclosure, and readable site-language markup (html lang / same-host hreflang).

— N/A Art. 50(4) (supporting)

Official EU labelling icons in use

Not assessed: applies only when article-like pages already carry an AI-content label (4 article-like page(s) found).

— N/A Art. 50(3)

Emotion recognition / biometric categorisation disclosure

Article 50(3) (emotion recognition and biometric categorisation systems) cannot be verified by an external crawler. Complete the in-product attestation module to cover this duty; attested answers are sealed as evidence like any other artifact.

SPECIMEN

Page coverage

6 page(s) checked (5 discovered via fictional fixture). "Rendered" = full browser capture incl. widget interaction; "static" = capped HTML fetch checked for widget fingerprints, article labels and media marking.

URL	Method	Widget	Article-like	AI label
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com	rendered	intercom	—	—
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/blog/ai-in-logistics	static	—	yes	not found
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/blog/q3-market-outlook	static	—	yes	not found
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/news/expansion-2026	static	—	yes	not found
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/blog/how-we-write	static	—	yes	found
https://fixture.disclosureproof.com/about	static	—	—	—

SPECIMEN

Appendix: captured chat-panel text (desktop)

Verbatim text captured from the open chat panel at scan time. The sealed SHA-256 of the full capture appears in the hash list below.

```
Hi there! How can we help you today?
```

Appendix: captured chat-panel text (mobile)

Verbatim text captured from the open chat panel at scan time. The sealed SHA-256 of the full capture appears in the hash list below.

```
Hi there!
```

Verification record

Fictional specimen values. This signature was not issued by DisclosureProof and cannot be verified as signed evidence.

Scan id	`specimen-fictional-2026-10-03`
sealed_at (UTC)	`2026-10-03T12:00:31.000Z`
Key id	`specimen-fictional-key`
HMAC-SHA256 signature	`0123456789abcdef0123456789abcdef0123456789abcdef0123456789abcdef`
Manifest SHA-256	`5a272181a6df53254b38f3c545d985dbc2aa2e47896078bbed52f2f7d4475f4d`

The seal covers the captured artifacts and manifest, not the bytes of this rendered PDF, and signature confirmation relies on DisclosureProof.

Captured artifacts recorded in the manifest at seal time. Crawler version: specimen-fixture-1.

SPECIMEN

Artifact kind	SHA-256	Bytes
`dom`	`3e6ef8b17b2e586b52f5c85e620e6453d70e5a466b6ad70c40270f3aaa885444`	364
`findings`	`06ea55c2f0dac678f905ca4629cdac54341ab900851c51bb3f7eb72d5d63dc35`	18346
`page_index`	`55df3e9f4d393428c083d99dbe8398d8d9a5087dae4197b6daa1e0e98731b38d`	1556
`panel_screenshot_mobile`	`6d3e557fdd02a8261668889029b7640f2b399c6c374cac1210b61c7c03f9b3cb`	14358
`panel_screenshot`	`96d26acd6b69d1cd3a0dd5a0b0069ddad8c72c0b7b534cd41c7cc29564fdba80`	18766
`panel_text_mobile`	`d451d2a79e0a1f87270b313ca7d9e589359cb3d2aa906594529dcbc0535fd0f3`	9
`panel_text`	`c8e913828f4cd041bd443b96e630f38a989ced5ecc0166a35cdfcb9031dd95df`	36

1. Open https://disclosureproof.com/verify/.
2. Enter the manifest SHA-256 and HMAC signature printed here, then select “Verify this evidence” to request signature confirmation.
3. To check integrity, hash the original captured files with SHA-256 and compare each result with this table; if you have evidence_manifest.json, paste its exact contents on the verification page to check its hash too.

Fictional specimen for layout review. No customer site is represented. DisclosureProof provides automated technical checks for informational purposes only. It is not legal advice and does not certify compliance. Consult qualified counsel. Scan specimen-fictional-2026-10-03 · captured 2026-10-03T12:00:00.000Z UTC — 2026-10-03T12:00:30.000Z UTC · generated 2026-10-03 12:01:00 UTC · prepared by Your Agency using DisclosureProof